

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,638	06/25/2003	Dong-seog Han	1349.1217	1052
21171 7590 07/24/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP		EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			JOSEPH, JAISON	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/602,638	HAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jaison Joseph	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE STATE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tince will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 June 2007</u> .					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,—					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	εχ paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8,9 and 11-13 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8,9 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Pate				

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the previous office action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 6 – 7 of the remarks, filed on 06/07/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 2, 4 – 6, 8, 9, and 11 – 13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of *newly found prior art reference(s)*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4 – 6, 8, 9, and 11 – 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Omura et al, (US Patent 6,559,894) and further in view of Fimoff et al (US Patent 7,035,353).

Regarding claim 1, AAPA disclosed in Figure 2, a linear equalizer for a single carrier receiver comprising a filter unit initializing coefficients of filters and filtering a preghost of the received signal and an error calculation unit calculating an equalization error using an output signal from said filter unit (see paragraph 6 – 9). AAPA does not disclose a channel estimation unit estimating channel estimation values using a

received signal inputted thereto and a generated field-synchronizing signal and using the channel estimation to initialize the filter coefficients. However in analogous art, Omura et al teach a channel estimation unit estimating channel estimation values using a received signal inputted thereto and a generated field-synchronizing signal and using the channel estimation to initialize the filter coefficients (see figure 5, components 502, 512, 514 and column 7, lines 6 –59). , Omura et al further teach said channel estimation unit includes a correlation cumulation unit calculating an cumulating correlation values between the received signal and the field synchronizing signal (see figure 6, and column 7, lines 17 – 32). Therefore it would be obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of using channel estimation to initialize the equalizer in AAPA. The motivation or suggestion to do so is the equalizer will be more stable and can recover quickly after loss of synchronization (see column 3, lines 20 –28).

AAPA inview of Omura et al does not expressly teach estimation decision unit deciding the channel estimation values by applying a predetermined threshold value to the cumulated correlation values. However, it is well known in the art that determining the channel estimate by applying a predetermined threshold value to the cumulated correlation values. Further, Fimoff et al further teach that a decision unit deciding the channel estimation values by applying a predetermined threshold value to the cumulated correlation values (see figure 4 and column 6, lines 39 – 47). Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was

made to incorporate the teaching of Fimoff in AAPA in view of Omura to detect the received signal more accurately.

Regarding claim 2, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, AAPA further teach said filter unit updates the coefficients of the filters according to the equalization error and filters the pre-ghost and post-ghost using the updated coefficients of the filters (see paragraph 4).

Regarding claim 4, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, AAPA further teach a decision unit deciding a signal level for an output signal from said filter unit, wherein said error calculation unit calculates the equalization error using an input signal to said decision unit and an output signal from said decision unit (see paragraph 7).

Regarding claim 5, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, AAPA further teach error calculation unit calculates the equalization error using the output signal from said decision unit and the field-synchronizing signal (see paragraph 8).

Regarding claim 6, the claimed method including the features corresponds to the subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 1 is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 8, AAPA teach a decision feedback equalizer comprising a feed forward unit (figure 3, component 342) initializing coefficients of a first filter and filtering a pre-ghost of the received signal, a feedback unit (figure 3, element 43) initializing coefficients of a second filter and filtering a post-ghost of the received signal; and an error calculation unit (figure 3, component 47) calculating an equalization error using output signals from said FF and FB units. AAPA does not disclose a channel estimation unit estimating channel estimation values using a received signal inputted thereto and a

generated field-synchronizing signal and using the channel estimation to initialize the filter coefficients. However in analogous art, Omura et al teach a channel estimation unit estimating channel estimation values using a received signal inputted thereto and a generated field-synchronizing signal and using the channel estimation to initialize the filter coefficients (see figure 5, components 502, 512, 514 and column 7, lines 6 –59). Omura et al further teach said channel estimation unit includes a correlation cumulation unit calculating an cumulating correlation values between the received signal and the field synchronizing signal (see figure 6, and column 7, lines 17 – 32). Therefore it would be obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of using channel estimation to initialize the equalizer in AAPA. The motivation or suggestion to do so is the equalizer will be more stable and can recover quickly after loss of synchronization (see column 3, lines 20 –28).

AAPA inview of Omura et al does not expressly teach estimation decision unit deciding the channel estimation values by applying a predetermined threshold value to the cumulated correlation values. However, it is well known in the art that determining the channel estimate by applying a predetermined threshold value to the cumulated correlation values. Further, Fimoff et al further teach that a decision unit deciding the channel estimation values by applying a predetermined threshold value to the cumulated correlation values (see figure 4 and column 6, lines 39 – 47). Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fimoff in AAPA in view of Omura to detect the received signal more accurately.

Page 6

Regarding claim 9, which inherits the limitations of claim 9, AAPA further teach said FF and FB units updates the coefficients of first and second filters, respectively according to the equalization error and filters the pre-ghost and post-ghost using the updated coefficients of the first and second filters (see paragraph 10).

Regarding claim 11, which inherits the limitations of claim 8, AAPA further teach an adder (see figure 3, adder 44) for adding the output signals from said FF and FB units to output a resulting signal (the output signal from the adder); a decision unit (see figure 3, component 46) deciding a signal level for an output signal from said adder and inputting the resulting signal of the predetermined level of said FB unit, wherein said error calculation unit calculates the equalization error using an input signal to said decision unit and an output signal of the predetermined level from said decision unit (see paragraph 10).

Regarding claim 12, which inherits the limitations of claim 11, AAPA further teach error calculation unit calculates the equalization error using the output signal from said adder and the field-synchronizing signal 9see paragraph 12).

Regarding claim 13, the claimed method including the features corresponds to the subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 8 is applicable hereto.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,638

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Joseph 07/11/2007

> CHIEH M. FAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER